

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,192	03/24/2004	Daewoong Suh	884.C25US1	4645	
21186	7590 04/11/2006	1	EXAM	INER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH			VIGUSHIN	VIGUSHIN, JOHN B	
121 S. 8TH S SUITE 1600	TREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPO!	LIS, MN 55402	2841			
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No	. Applicant(s)	· ·
Office Assistant Communication	10/808,192	SUH, DAEWOONG	÷ . A
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John B. Vigushi		
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on the cove	r sheet with the correspondence add	Iress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provising after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this companies. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum properties of the provision of the companies of the provision of the companies. The provision of the companies	MAILING DATE OF THIS Co ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how mmunication. I statutory period will apply and will expire ply will, by statute, cause the application as after the mailing date of this communic	OMMUNICATION. ever, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this colo become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 24 March 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-fin	al.	
3) Since this application is in condition	on for allowance except for fo	rmal matters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the pra	ctice under Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the	e application.	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is		ration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	•	
8) Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restri		ent.	
Application Papers			
	the Everines		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/a		icated to but he Everines	
,		• •	
Applicant may not request that any of	• • • •	•	D 4 404(4)
11) The oath or declaration is objected	-	e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	to by the Examiner. Note the	s attached Office Action of form FTV	J-132.
	•	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clai	• • •	i U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	ty documents have been rece		
-	•	eived in Application No	
		ave been received in this National S	≩tage
	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	• • • •	
* See the attached detailed Office ac	tion for a list of the certified c	opies not received.	
		•	
•			
Attachment(s)			
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	• •	Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	450)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	or PTO/SB/08) 5)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-Other:	152)
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Ma	il Date 0406

Application/Control Number: 10/808,192 Page 2

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-13, drawn to a method of bonding a contact of an electronic package to a contact of a motherboard, classified in class 29, subclass 840.
 - II. Claims 14-22, drawn to an electronic system comprising an electronic package having a contact bonded to a contact of a motherboard, classified in class 174, subclass 260.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as a process that requires maintaining the interlayer and the first and second contacts within the environment until only 50% or less of the interlayer diffuses into the first and second contacts, and wherein engaging a first contact on a motherboard with a second contact on an electronic package requires only heating without the step of pressing the first contact against the second contact.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,192

Art Unit: 2841

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to Applicant's Attorney, Andrew Peret, on April 04, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 2841

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Vigushin whose telephone number is 571-272-1936. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM Mo-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2841

jbv.

April 04, 2006